

DESCRIPTION

Interest in exosome and microvesicle analysis has grown rapidly in recent years as another way to explore disease states, cancer progression, and for drug discovery and delivery.^{1,2,3,4} Due to their small size (30 – 100 nm) however, these particles are difficult to detect using traditional methods, and require extra processing to segregate/characterize from debris commonly found in biological samples.^{5,6,7} Flow cytometry has emerged as a popular method of analysis and sorting for small particle characterization.^{8,9,10} The NanoBead Calibration kit comprises highly defined microspheres with an internalized fluorescent dye to allow users to determine the capabilities of their cytometer and appropriate instrument settings, as size references for small particle characterization, and assessing small particle handling processes.

CHARACTERISTICS

Nominal Diameter: 50 nm & 100 nm
Particle Concentration: ~1x10⁸ beads/mL

MATERIALS

Nanobead Calibration Standards: 2 bottles: 50nm x 3mL, 100nm x 3mL

Materials Required:

Filtered flow buffer/diluent (see procedures)
Appropriate sized tubes
Vortex Mixer/sonicator
Flow cytometer

PROCEDURE

Small particle analysis is highly demanding and requires that the flow cytometer is in peak operating condition, and that the user have a thorough understanding of instrument operation and associated software. In some cases, all beads are not able to be identified as discrete populations even under optimal conditions, as these particle sizes are at the lower bounds of many cytometers' detection limits. Optimal settings used will be highly dependent on the instrument and will need to be determined for the specific analysis. It is recommended to run each bead independently initially to establish detection capabilities, appropriate settings, and expected scatter profile. Once the user has become more comfortable with using each bead, they can mix as desired.

1. Shake the spheres or vortex for 30 seconds to ensure a uniform suspension of microspheres.
2. Add 1 drop of the beads into 1 mL of filtered buffer
3. Run the beads and adjust settings as needed until the scatter population is resolved as desired.

Expected Results

Exact results and resolution will differ instrument to instrument, and is highly dependent on settings used.




Figure 1: Scatter plot of FITC vs SSC of a mixture of 100 nm, 200 nm, 500 nm and 800 nm beads (Nanobead Kit + Submicron Kit) on a BD FACSCanto II




Figure 2: SSC Histogram for a mixture of 100 nm, 200 nm, 500 nm, and 800 nm beads (Nanobead Kit + Submicron Kit) on a BD FACSCanto II




Figure 3: FITC Histogram for a mixture of 100 nm, 200 nm, 500 nm, and 800 nm beads (Nanobead Kit + Submicron Kit) on a BD FACSCanto II

TIPS AND SUGGESTIONS

The following are steps that can be taken to potentially improve the resolution of the nanobeads. Care should be taken before modifying your cytometer, as some changes could prove damaging if done improperly and possibly void service contracts, product maintenance (PM's), or warranties. We highly encourage users to consult the appropriate flow cytometry professional or responsible party before undertaking any physical modifications to the cytometer.

1. Filter any sheath fluid or diluent used with an initial 100 nm filter, and then a final 50 nm filter. These filters can be sourced from common manufacturers such as Pall or Whatman.
2. Many flow cytometers employ a 200 nm inline filter. Users can add in an additional 100 nm inline filter (or smaller), although this may impact the flow rate, reducing it to unacceptably low levels or causing pressure concerns.
3. Flush the system for a minimum of 1 hour with filtered sheath. Running a debubble cycle can also help reduce background events or noise.
4. Run the filtered sheath using the same settings as for nanobead acquisition to establish the noise threshold, scatter profile of background, and baseline event rate of sheath. This will greatly assist in discerning the small particles from noise.
5. Many studies have indicated side scattered light (SSC) as a better signal to trigger/threshold than forward scatter light (FSC). This can be coupled with a fluorescence trigger to increase the ability to discern the particles above background.^{11, 12}
6. Monitor the abort rate, high values could indicate an unacceptable amount of contribution from background (either due to poor filtration, or inappropriate settings), or too high of a sample concentration (the nanobeads are provided at approximately 1×10^9 , which typically isn't concentrated enough to cause high levels of coincident events). Performing serial dilutions of beads and monitoring scatter and fluorescence histograms is another useful method to control for coincident/swarm events.^{9, 13, 14}
7. Establish the largest bead/sample of interest in the top right quadrant of the scatter plot, this maximizes the available room for smaller particles which often will have more heterogeneous scatter profiles.
8. Reduced flow rates have been shown to improve scatter cv, however users should investigate several flow rates to determine the impact on their resolution (not all cytometers have this feature).¹⁴
9. Starting with larger particles and working down to the smallest is usually easier. Users may also want to include a larger reference bead in the samples to aid in establishing the initial window of analysis and settings, as well as detecting aggregation
10. Adjustment of the windows extension (WE) could improve signal resolution by reducing background contribution. Consult the user manual for more info, some instruments may not have this option.¹⁵
11. If possible, establish a buffer between the background and smallest particle on the scatter plot.
12. Due to the small size of nanobeads, PMT's may need to be increased past typical values. It is not always the case however that maximum settings are the best settings.
13. The use of a 405 nm laser has been shown to decrease signal cv, although this may reduce signal intensity.¹⁴
14. Adequately flush lines with filtered sheath between runs to reduce contribution from prior particles.
15. Consult the cytometer manufacturer, as they may have suggestions on potential modifications or settings for small particle characterization

STORAGE

Store at 2-8° C. Freezing of suspensions is expected to result in irreversible aggregation. Stable for 12 months from date of purchase, provided the product is handled in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. The suspensions should be kept in their supplied opaque bottles.

SAFETY

These particle suspensions contains sodium azide, which may react with lead and copper plumbing to form explosive metal azides. Upon disposal of material, flush with a large volume of water to prevent azide accumulation. Please consult the Safety Data Sheet for more information.

This product is for research use only and is not intended for use in humans or for *in vitro* diagnostic use.

ORDERING INFORMATION

Cat. Code	Description	Size
834	Nanobead Calibration Kit (50 nm & 100 nm)	3mL

Order online at www.bangslabs.com.

RELATED PRODUCTS

Cat Code	Description	Size
832	Submicron Bead Calibration Kit (0.2 μ m, 0.5 μ m, 0.8 μ m)	1 Kit
833	Micron Bead Calibration Kit (1.0 μ m, 3.0 μ m, 6.0 μ m)	1 Kit
829	Size Calibrations Standards Kit (5 populations, ~ 4- 12 μ m)	1 Kit

REFERENCES

1. Munagala, R., Aqil, F., Jeyabalan, J., & Gupta, R. C. (2016). *Bovine milk-derived exosomes for drug delivery*. *Cancer letters*, 371(1), 48-61. DOI: 10.1016/j.canlet.2015.10.020.
2. Estelles, A., Sperinde, J., Roulon, T., Aguilar, B., Bonner, C., LePecq, J., & Delcayre, A. (2007). *Exosome nanovesicles displaying G protein-coupled receptors for drug discovery*. *International journal of nanomedicine*, 2(4), 751-760.
3. Oksvold, M. P., Kullmann, A., Forfang, L., Kierulf, B., Li, M., Brech, A., ... & Pedersen, K. W. (2014). *Expression of B-cell surface antigens in subpopulations of exosomes released from B-cell lymphoma cells*. *Clinical therapeutics*, 36(6), 847-862. DOI: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2014.05.010.
4. Muralidharan-Chari, V., Clancy, J. W., Sedgwick, A., & D'Souza-Schorey, C. (2010). *Microvesicles: mediators of extracellular communication during cancer progression*. *Journal of cell science*, 123(10), 1603-1611. DOI: 10.1242/jcs.064386.
5. van der Pol, E., Böing, A. N., Harrison, P., Sturk, A., & Nieuwland, R. (2012). *Classification, functions, and clinical relevance of extracellular vesicles*. *Pharmacological reviews*, 64(3), 676-705. DOI: 10.1124/pr.112.005983.
6. Kong, F., Zhang, L., Wang, H., Yuan, G., Guo, A., Li, Q., & Chen, Z. (2015). *Impact of collection, isolation and storage methodology of circulating microvesicles on flow cytometric analysis*. *Experimental and therapeutic medicine*, 10(6), 2093-2101. DOI: 10.3892/etm.2015.2780
7. Rani, S., O'Brien, K., Kelleher, F. C., Corcoran, C., Germano, S., Radomski, M. W., ... & O'Driscoll, L. (2011). *Isolation of exosomes for subsequent mRNA, MicroRNA, and protein profiling*. *Gene Expression Profiling: Methods and Protocols*, 784, 181-195. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-61779-289-2_13.
8. Chandler, W. L., Yeung, W., & Tait, J. F. (2011). *A new microparticle size calibration standard for use in measuring smaller microparticles using a new flow cytometer*. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, 9(6), 1216-1224. DOI: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2011.04283.x
9. Kormelink, T. G., Arkesteijn, G. J., Nauwelaers, F. A., van den Engh, G., & Wauben, M. H. (2015). *Prerequisites for the analysis and sorting of extracellular vesicle subpopulations by high-resolution flow cytometry*. *Cytometry Part A*. 89(2), 135-147. DOI: 10.1002/cyto.a.22644
10. Nielsen, M. H., Beck-Nielsen, H., Andersen, M. N., & Handberg, A. (2014). *A flow cytometric method for characterization of circulating cell-derived microparticles in plasma*. *Journal of extracellular vesicles*, 3. DOI: 10.3402/jev.v3.20795
11. Stoner, S. A., Duggan, E., Condello, D., Guerrero, A., Turk, J. R., Narayanan, P. K., & Nolan, J. P. (2015). *High sensitivity flow cytometry of membrane vesicles*. *Cytometry Part A*. 89(2), 196-206. DOI: 10.1002/cyto.a.22787
12. Arraud, N., Gounou, C., Turpin, D., & Brisson, A. R. (2015). *Fluorescence triggering: a general strategy for enumerating and phenotyping extracellular vesicles by flow cytometry*. *Cytometry Part A*. 89(2), 184-195. DOI: 10.1002/cyto.a.22669
13. Nolan, J. P. (2015). *Flow Cytometry of Extracellular Vesicles: Potential, Pitfalls, and Prospects*. *Current Protocols in Cytometry*, 73, 13-14. DOI: 10.1002/0471142956.cy1314s73
14. Zucker, R. M., Ortenzio, J. N., & Boyes, W. K. (2015). *Characterization, detection, and counting of metal nanoparticles using flow cytometry*. *Cytometry Part A*. 89(2), 169-83. DOI: 10.1002/cyto.a.22793
15. *An Introduction to Window Extension on Digital Flow Cytometers*. BD Biosciences Technical Bulletin. Retrieved from https://www.bdbiosciences.com/documents/techbulletin_lsr_window_ext.pdf

FURTHER READING

Lannigan J., Nolan J., Zucker R (Eds.). (2016). Special Issue: *Measurement of Extracellular Vesicles and Other Submicron Size Particles by Flow Cytometry*. *Cytometry Part A*. 89(2), 101-220.

Mobarrez, F., Antovic, J., Egberg, N., Hansson, M., Jörneskog, G., Hultenby, K., & Wallén, H. (2010). *A multicolor flow cytometric assay for measurement of platelet-derived microparticles*. *Thrombosis research*, 125(3), e110-e116. DOI: 10.1016/j.thromres.2009.10.006

Clayton, A., Court, J., Navabi, H., Adams, M., Mason, M. D., Hobot, J. A., ... & Jasani, B. (2001). *Analysis of antigen presenting cell derived exosomes, based on immuno-magnetic isolation and flow cytometry*. *Journal of immunological methods*, 247(1), 163-174.

Momen-Heravi, F., Balaj, L., Alian, S., Tigges, J., Toxavidis, V., Ericsson, M., ... & Kuo, W. P. (2012). *Alternative methods for characterization of extracellular vesicles*. *Front Physiol*, 3(354.10), 3389. DOI: 10.3389/fphys.2012.00354

Erdbrügger, U., & Lannigan, J. (2015). *Analytical challenges of extracellular vesicle detection: A comparison of different techniques*. *Cytometry Part A*. 89(2), 123-134. DOI: 10.1002/cyto.a.22795

Vishnubhatla, I., Corteling, R., Stevanato, L., Hicks, C., & Sinden, J. (2014). *The development of stem cell-derived exosomes as a cell-free regenerative medicine*. *Journal of Circulating Biomarkers*. 3. DOI: 10.5772/58597

Chow, A., Zhou, W., Liu, L., Fong, M. Y., Champer, J., Van Haute, D., ... & Huang, W. (2014). *Macrophage immunomodulation by breast cancer-derived exosomes requires Toll-like receptor 2-mediated activation of NF-κB*. *Scientific reports*, 4. DOI: 10.1038/srep05750